Advancements and Challenges in Geospatial Artificial Intelligence, Evaluating Support Vector Machines Models for Dengue Fever Prediction: A Structured Literature Review

Hetty Meileni[1], Ermatita[2], Abdiansah[3], Nyayu Latifah Husni[4]
Doctoral Program in Engineering Science, Universitas Sriwijaya,
(Lecturer of Politeknik Negeri Sriwijaya), Palembang, 30139, Indonesia[1]
Faculty of Computer Science, Universitas Sriwijaya, Palembang, 30139, Indonesia[2]
Artificial Intelligence Research Development (AIRD), Universitas Sriwijaya, Palembang, 30139, Indonesia[3]
Department of Electrical Engineering, Politeknik Negeri Sriwijaya, Palembang, 30139, Indonesia[4]

***Abstract*—This review examines recent advancements and ongoing challenges in applying Support Vector Machines within Geospatial Artificial Intelligence, specifically for dengue fever prediction. Recent developments in Support Vector Machines include the introduction of advanced kernel methods, such as Radial Basis Function and polynomial kernels, which enhance the model's ability to handle complex spatial data and interactions. Integration with high-resolution geospatial data and real-time analytics has significantly improved predictive accuracy, particularly in mapping environmental factors influencing disease spread. However, challenges persist, including issues with data quality, computational demands, and model interpretability. Data scarcity and the high computational cost of Support Vector Machines, especially with non-linear kernels, necessitate optimization techniques and advanced computing resources. Parameter tuning and enhancing model interpretability are critical for effective implementation. Future research should focus on developing new kernels and hybrid models that combine Support Vector Machines with other machine learning approaches to address these challenges. Practical applications in public health can benefit from improved real-time data processing and high-resolution analytics, while ensuring adherence to ethical and regulatory standards. This review underscores the potential of Support Vector Machines in Geospatial Artificial Intelligence for disease prediction and highlights areas where further innovation and research are needed to enhance its practical utility in public health.**

***Keywords*—Support vector machines; geospatial artificial intelligence; kernel methods; dengue fever prediction; real-time data analytics**

I. Introduction

Predicting dengue fever endemic areas is crucial for effective public health management and disease prevention. Dengue fever, transmitted by the Aedes mosquito, poses a significant threat to millions of people worldwide, particularly in tropical and subtropical regions. Accurate prediction of endemic areas allows health authorities to implement targeted interventions, such as vector control measures, public awareness campaigns, and timely medical responses [1]. By focusing resources on high-risk areas, these measures can significantly reduce the incidence of dengue outbreaks, ultimately saving lives and reducing the burden on healthcare systems. In addition to improving public health outcomes, predicting dengue fever endemic areas contributes to better resource allocation. Public health resources, including personnel, medical supplies, and financial investments, are often limited, especially in developing countries where dengue is most prevalent [2]. By identifying regions at higher risk of dengue outbreaks, governments and organizations can prioritize resource distribution, ensuring that the most vulnerable populations receive adequate protection and support. This strategic approach not only enhances the efficiency of public health interventions but also helps prevent the wastage of resources in low-risk areas [3].

Furthermore, predicting dengue endemic areas supports the development of long-term disease control strategies. By analyzing patterns of dengue transmission, including environmental and climatic factors that contribute to the spread of the disease, researchers and policymakers can design more sustainable and effective control measures [4]. For example, understanding how factors such as temperature, rainfall, and urbanization influence mosquito populations and virus transmission can inform urban planning and infrastructure development, leading to healthier communities less prone to dengue outbreaks. Lastly, accurate predictions of dengue endemic areas play a vital role in fostering community engagement and awareness [5]. When communities are informed about their risk of dengue, they are more likely to adopt preventive measures, such as eliminating mosquito breeding sites, using insect repellent, and seeking medical attention promptly if symptoms arise. Engaging the public in these efforts is essential for the success of any public health intervention, as community participation amplifies the impact of government-led initiatives and leads to more resilient populations in the face of dengue threats [6].

Geospatial Artificial Intelligence (GeoAI) is an emerging field that combines geographic information systems (GIS) with artificial intelligence (AI) to analyze and interpret spatial data. By leveraging AI techniques like machine learning, GeoAI can process large and complex geospatial datasets to uncover patterns, make predictions, and provide actionable insights in various domains such as urban planning, environmental monitoring, and public health [7]. GeoAI enhances traditional GIS by enabling more sophisticated data analysis, allowing for the integration of diverse data sources, including satellite imagery, sensor networks, and demographic information, to address complex spatial problems. One of the key AI techniques used in GeoAI is the Support Vector Machine (SVM), a supervised learning algorithm widely known for its effectiveness in classification and regression tasks [8]. SVM is particularly useful in geospatial analysis because it can handle high-dimensional data and identify complex relationships between variables, which are common in spatial datasets. In the context of GeoAI, SVM can be used to classify land cover types, predict environmental changes, or identify areas at risk for natural disasters or disease outbreaks. Its ability to create precise decision boundaries makes it ideal for tasks where distinguishing between different spatial patterns is crucial [9].

The role of SVM in geospatial analysis is further amplified by its robustness and flexibility. SVMs can be adapted to various types of geospatial data, including raster and vector formats, and can incorporate both numerical and categorical variables. This adaptability makes SVMs highly suitable for analyzing diverse geospatial phenomena, such as predicting flood zones, assessing the impact of climate change on agriculture, or identifying hotspots of disease transmission [10]. In the case of dengue fever prediction, for example, SVMs can analyze environmental factors like temperature, humidity, and land use to predict where mosquito populations are likely to thrive, thus helping to identify areas at higher risk for outbreaks. Moreover, the integration of SVM within GeoAI frameworks allows for more accurate and timely predictions, which are essential for effective decision-making in spatial planning and public health [11]. As geospatial data continues to grow in volume and complexity, the role of SVM in GeoAI is becoming increasingly important. Its ability to efficiently process large datasets and deliver high-precision results makes it a powerful tool for addressing the challenges of spatial analysis in a rapidly changing world [11], [12]. By enabling more precise predictions and insights, SVM in GeoAI is paving the way for more proactive and informed interventions in areas like disaster management, environmental conservation, and disease prevention [13].

The prediction of dengue fever endemic areas is critical for mitigating outbreaks and protecting public health. With the rise of Geospatial Artificial Intelligence (GeoAI), advanced techniques like Support Vector Machine (SVM) have become increasingly prominent in analyzing and predicting spatial patterns of disease [14]. However, while there have been significant advancements in integrating SVM with GeoAI for dengue fever prediction, the full potential of these technologies is still being explored. Understanding the latest developments in this field is essential for refining predictive models and enhancing their accuracy and applicability in real-world scenarios [15]. Despite the promising progress, several challenges persist in the application of SVM within GeoAI for dengue prediction. These challenges include the complexity of modeling dynamic environmental factors, the need for high-quality and granular spatial data, and the computational demands of processing large datasets. Moreover, there are issues related to the generalization of models across different geographic regions and the interpretation of results by public health officials. Addressing these challenges is crucial for improving the reliability and effectiveness of SVM-based predictions in managing dengue fever risks [16].

The novelties of this research lie in its comprehensive exploration of the integration of Support Vector Machine (SVM) within Geospatial Artificial Intelligence (GeoAI) for predicting dengue fever outbreaks. This study uniquely focuses on recent advancements and innovations in SVM applications, highlighting how they enhance the accuracy and efficiency of dengue prediction models. It is also supported with recent studies that explored the integration of Support Vector Machine (SVM) and other machine learning techniques within Geospatial Artificial Intelligence (GeoAI) for predicting dengue fever outbreaks. For instance, SVM models have shown promising results in dengue prediction, with one study reporting 70% accuracy using climate variables and week-of-the-year as predictors [17]. Other research has emphasized the importance of incorporating multiple data sources, including meteorological, clinical, and socioeconomic data, to improve prediction accuracy [18]. The identification of significant climatic risk factors, such as the novel TempeRain factor, has led to improved prediction accuracy in some models [19]. In addition, a systematic review of dengue outbreak prediction models revealed that climate factors are the most commonly used predictors, with machine learning techniques, including SVM, being employed in 38.5% of the reviewed models [20].

So, based on the discussion previously, the objectives of this research are widely to evaluate the latest advancements in the use of Support Vector Machines (SVM) within Geospatial Artificial Intelligence (GeoAI) for predicting dengue fever outbreaks, to identify and analyze the primary challenges encountered in applying SVM models to dengue prediction, to assess the effectiveness and accuracy of current SVM-based prediction techniques in different geographic contexts, and to provide recommendations for improving the integration of SVM and GeoAI to enhance predictive capabilities and public health interventions. These objectives aim to advance understanding in the field and address gaps in the current methodologies, ultimately contributing to more effective disease forecasting and management.

II. Methodology

The Structured Literature Review (SLR) methodology provides a systematic and rigorous approach to identifying, evaluating, and synthesizing research on a specific topic. The approach begins with the formulation of clear research questions and objectives to guide the review process. A comprehensive search strategy is then developed, incorporating specific keywords, phrases, and Boolean operators to systematically query academic databases such as PubMed, Scopus, Google Scholar, and other relevant sources. This search strategy aims to capture a wide range of studies related to the use of Support Vector Machines (SVM) in Geospatial Artificial Intelligence (GeoAI) for predicting dengue fever [21]. Once relevant literature is gathered, the selection process involves applying pre-defined inclusion and exclusion criteria to ensure the relevance and quality of the studies. Inclusion criteria might include factors such as publication date, methodological rigor, and direct relevance to the research topic, while exclusion criteria filter out irrelevant or low-quality sources. The selected studies are then analyzed and categorized based on themes and patterns, using techniques like thematic analysis to synthesize findings and identify gaps in the literature. This structured approach ensures a comprehensive and unbiased review, providing valuable insights into the current state of research and highlighting areas for future investigation [22].

Criteria for selecting literature are crucial in ensuring that the review includes high-quality and relevant studies. Inclusion criteria typically involve assessing the relevance of the literature to the research topic, which in this case is the use of Support Vector Machines (SVM) in Geospatial Artificial Intelligence (GeoAI) for predicting dengue fever [23]. Relevant studies should address key aspects of this topic, such as methodological approaches, applications of SVM in GeoAI, and outcomes related to dengue prediction. Additionally, the type of research—such as empirical studies, case studies, or reviews—must align with the objectives of the review. Studies published in peer-reviewed journals and recent publications are generally prioritized to ensure the inclusion of current and credible findings.

Exclusion criteria help filter out literature that does not meet the review's standards or objectives. This might include studies that are not directly related to the use of SVM in GeoAI or those that lack empirical data and methodological rigor. Publications from non-peer-reviewed sources or those with insufficient quality, such as poorly designed studies or those with incomplete data, are typically excluded. By applying these criteria, the review ensures that the included literature is both relevant and of high quality, which enhances the validity and reliability of the synthesized findings and conclusions. Furthermore, data analysis techniques play a crucial role in synthesizing and interpreting the results of a structured literature review [24]. Thematic analysis is a widely used method for identifying and examining patterns or themes within qualitative data. This technique involves several key steps, starting with familiarization with the literature [25]. Researchers immerse themselves in the data by reading and re-reading selected studies to gain a comprehensive understanding of their content. Initial coding follows, where significant features and concepts are tagged with descriptive labels to organize the data into manageable categories.

III. Theoretical Framework

A. Geospatial Artificial Intelligence (GeoAI)

Geospatial Artificial Intelligence (GeoAI) refers to the integration of geographic information systems (GIS) with artificial intelligence (AI) technologies to enhance spatial data analysis and decision-making. At its core, GeoAI combines spatial data with machine learning, pattern recognition, and other AI techniques to extract meaningful insights from complex geographic datasets. The fundamental concepts of GeoAI involve the use of AI algorithms to analyze spatial data, identify patterns, and make predictions about geographic phenomena. This integration enables more sophisticated analysis compared to traditional GIS methods, providing deeper insights and more accurate forecasts for a variety of applications.

One of the key applications of GeoAI is in epidemiology, where it helps track and predict the spread of diseases. By analyzing spatial data such as disease incidence, environmental factors, and population density, GeoAI can identify hotspots and predict potential outbreaks. This approach allows public health officials to deploy resources more effectively, target interventions to high-risk areas, and improve overall disease management. GeoAI's ability to process large volumes of data from diverse sources, including satellite imagery and sensor networks, enhances the accuracy and timeliness of epidemiological analyses. Table I shows recent findings in the key applications of GeoAI is in epidemiology.

TABLE I. KEY APPLICATIONS OF GEOAI IN EPIDEMIOLOGY

Research Title and Author-Year	Main Findings
A Scoping Literature Review of Artificial Intelligence in Epidemiology: Uses, Applications, Challenges and Future Trends [26]	GeoAI integrates geographic data with AI to enable more accurate disease spread monitoring, outbreak prediction, and health resource management.
Geospatial Artificial Intelligence (GeoAI): Applications in Health Care [9]	GeoAI has the potential to transform healthcare, public health, infectious disease control, disaster aid, and the achievement of Sustainable Development Goals.
Emerging trends in geospatial artificial intelligence (geoAI): potential applications for environmental epidemiology [27]	GeoAI provides advantages for exposure modeling in environmental epidemiology, including incorporating big spatial data, computational efficiency, and scalability.
GeoAI-based Epidemic Control with Geo-Social Data Sharing on Blockchain [28]	GeoAI and blockchain-based geo-social data sharing can enable effective identification of infections for epidemic control.

In disease mapping, GeoAI plays a crucial role in visualizing and understanding the spatial distribution of diseases. It enables the creation of detailed and dynamic maps that show how diseases spread over time and across different regions. For example, GeoAI can be used to map the distribution of vector-borne diseases like dengue fever, integrating data on environmental conditions, mosquito habitats, and human activities [29]. These maps provide valuable insights for targeted public health interventions and inform strategies for disease prevention and control.

B. Support Vector Machine (SVM)

Support Vector Machine (SVM) is a supervised machine learning algorithm used for classification and regression tasks. The basic principle of SVM involves finding the optimal hyperplane that best separates data into different classes in a high-dimensional space. This hyperplane maximizes the margin between classes, which helps in achieving robust classification even with noisy data [30]. In geospatial analysis, SVM is applied to classify land cover types, predict environmental changes, and identify spatial patterns based on features extracted from geographic datasets. SVM's application in geospatial analysis includes tasks such as mapping land use, detecting patterns in satellite imagery, and predicting the spread of diseases. For example, SVM can classify regions based on environmental variables to identify potential areas for conservation or urban development. In disease prediction, SVM can analyze spatial data to forecast disease hotspots by distinguishing between areas with high and low risk based on various environmental and demographic factors [31].

One of the main advantages of SVM is its ability to handle high-dimensional data and find the optimal decision boundary with a clear margin of separation. This makes SVM effective in situations where the relationship between features is complex. Additionally, SVM can be adapted to both linear and non-linear problems through the use of kernel functions, enhancing its flexibility in modeling different types of spatial data [32]. Table II also shows recent findings in SVM and its ability for disease surveillance.

TABLE II. RECENT FINDINGS IN THE APPLICATION OF SVM IN DISEASE SURVEILLANCE

Research Title and Author-Year	Main Findings
Review of Geospatial Technology for Infectious Disease Surveillance: Use Case on COVID-19 [33]	Geospatial technologies like GIS are increasingly relevant for infectious disease surveillance and modeling, including for COVID-19.
Using fine-scale satellite imagery and GIS data to help predict disease spread [34]	The paper demonstrates how fine-scale satellite imagery and GIS data can be used to model and predict the spread of infectious diseases.
Diseases Spread Prediction In Tropical Areas By Machine Learning Methods Ensembling And Spatial Analysis Techniques [35]	The paper demonstrates the use of machine learning methods, including SVMs, for predicting the spread of tropical diseases based on environmental and spatial factors.
Application of spatial multicriteria decision analysis in healthcare: Identifying drivers and triggers of infectious disease outbreaks using ensemble learning [36]	The paper demonstrates the application of spatial multicriteria decision analysis and machine learning to identify risk factors and predict the spread of vector-borne infectious diseases.

However, SVM also has limitations. It can be computationally intensive, particularly with large datasets and complex kernel functions, leading to longer processing times. Additionally, SVMs require careful tuning of parameters and kernel choices, which can be challenging. They may also struggle with very large-scale datasets or when the number of features significantly exceeds the number of observations [37]. Despite these challenges, SVM remains a powerful tool in spatial prediction when applied with appropriate data preprocessing and parameter optimization.

C. Integration of SVM with GeoAI

Integration of SVM with GeoAI involves combining SVM's machine learning capabilities with GeoAI's spatial data analysis techniques to enhance spatial predictions and insights. This integration typically starts with the preprocessing of geospatial data, where SVM models are trained on spatial features extracted from various sources such as satellite imagery, environmental sensors, and geographic information systems (GIS) [38]. GeoAI platforms facilitate the extraction and preparation of these features, enabling SVM to handle high-dimensional and complex spatial datasets effectively. One common method of integration is through the application of kernel functions within SVM, which allows for the modeling of non-linear relationships in spatial data. In GeoAI, spatial features such as elevation, land use, and vegetation indices can be transformed using different kernels to capture intricate patterns and improve classification accuracy [39]. For instance, using a radial basis function (RBF) kernel can help in identifying complex spatial clusters or predicting disease hotspots by mapping non-linear interactions between environmental variables and disease incidence.

Finally, integrating SVM into GeoAI platforms often involves using advanced visualization tools to interpret and communicate the results. GeoAI platforms provide interactive maps and dashboards that display SVM predictions, allowing users to explore spatial patterns and make informed decisions [12]. These visualizations can be crucial for understanding complex spatial relationships, assessing risk areas, and implementing targeted interventions. By leveraging the strengths of both SVM and GeoAI, this integration supports more effective spatial analysis and enhances decision-making across various applications [40] (Table III).

TABLE III. RECENT FINDINGS IN INTEGRATION OF SVM WITH GEO AI

Research Title and Author-Year	Main Findings
Internet of Things Enabled Disease Outbreak Detection: A Predictive Modeling System [41]	The paper presents a framework that integrates IoT-driven predictive data analytics using SVM for disease outbreak detection and early warning.
Artificial Intelligence for infectious disease Big Data Analytics [42]	GeoAI has the potential to transform healthcare, public health, infectious disease control, and disaster aid through applications like disease surveillance.
The integration of geostatistical analysis with social network improve active disease surveillance [43]	The integration of geostatistical analysis with social network can improve active disease surveillance.

IV. LITERATURE REVIEW

Recent advancements in the integration of Support Vector Machine (SVM) with Geospatial Artificial Intelligence (GeoAI) have significantly enhanced the ability to map and predict disease outbreaks. Recent studies have demonstrated how SVM can effectively classify and analyze spatial data related to disease distribution by leveraging advanced GeoAI techniques [44]. For instance, research has focused on using SVM to process satellite imagery and environmental data to map the spread of vector-borne diseases like malaria and Zika virus. These studies often employ various kernel functions and feature extraction methods to improve classification accuracy and address the complexities inherent in spatial datasets [45]. Furthermore, one notable advancement is the application of SVM in high-resolution disease mapping, where researchers use detailed geospatial data to identify and visualize disease hotspots. For example, recent work has applied SVM to analyze environmental and climatic factors such as temperature, precipitation, and land use patterns to predict regions at risk of disease outbreaks [46]. These studies often involve integrating SVM with other GeoAI tools like remote sensing data and spatial modeling techniques, providing a more comprehensive understanding of disease dynamics and facilitating targeted public health interventions.

In the context of dengue fever prediction, SVM has been applied to predict disease outbreaks by analyzing various spatial and environmental factors. For example, recent studies have used SVM to analyze patterns in mosquito breeding sites, rainfall data, and temperature variations to forecast areas at high risk of dengue transmission. By combining SVM with GeoAI, researchers have been able to develop predictive models that can identify potential outbreak zones with greater accuracy, allowing for more timely and targeted public health responses [47]. Examples of SVM applications in dengue fever prediction illustrate the technique's potential for improving disease management. For instance, SVM has been used to analyze historical dengue incidence data along with environmental variables to create predictive maps of high-risk areas. Additionally, some studies have integrated SVM with real-time data from weather stations and satellite imagery to enhance the accuracy of predictions and provide actionable insights for disease control efforts [48]. These advancements highlight the effectiveness of combining SVM with GeoAI in developing robust models for disease prediction and management.

A. Challenge in Implementing SVM in GeoAI

Implementing Support Vector Machines (SVM) in Geospatial Artificial Intelligence (GeoAI) poses several challenges. One major issue is data availability, as high-quality geospatial datasets are essential for accurate SVM models. In low-resource areas, data may be sparse, outdated, or low-resolution. Model complexity also presents difficulties; SVMs require careful tuning of parameters and kernel selection to manage non-linear spatial data. This process is time-consuming and technically demanding [49]. Additionally, SVMs have high computational requirements, especially with large-scale datasets and complex kernels, necessitating robust hardware and efficient algorithms to ensure timely analysis and predictions, crucial for managing dynamic spatial events. In addition to technical issues, there are several non-technical challenges associated with SVM implementation in GeoAI. Regulations regarding data privacy and use can impact the availability and sharing of geospatial information [37]. Compliance with legal and ethical standards is crucial, especially when dealing with sensitive health data. Ethical considerations include ensuring that predictive models do not inadvertently reinforce biases or lead to discriminatory practices. The use of geospatial data and predictive models must be transparent and equitable to avoid potential negative consequences for affected populations.

Lastly, technology adoption poses a significant challenge. The successful implementation of SVM in GeoAI depends on the willingness of organizations and stakeholders to adopt and integrate advanced technologies into their workflows. This involves overcoming resistance to change, ensuring adequate training for users, and addressing concerns about the reliability and interpretability of AI-driven predictions. Effective communication and education about the benefits and limitations of SVM and GeoAI are essential for fostering broader acceptance and utilization of these advanced tools in spatial analysis and disease management. Recent challenge in implementing SVM in GeoAI is presented in Table IV.

B. Comparative Studies

Comparative studies in disease prediction often evaluate machine learning techniques like Support Vector Machines (SVM), Random Forest, and Neural Networks for forecasting dengue fever outbreaks. SVM is praised for handling high-dimensional data and creating optimal decision boundaries, though it may struggle with large datasets or complex patterns. Random Forest, an ensemble method, builds multiple decision trees and aggregates their predictions, improving robustness and managing large datasets effectively, especially with missing values and feature interactions. Neural Networks offer high flexibility and power, particularly deep learning models that capture intricate patterns within data. However, they require extensive data and computational resources, and their interpretability is lower than that of SVM and Random Forest.

TABLE IV. RECENT FINDINGS IN CHALLENGES OF IMPLEMENTING SVM IN GEOAI

Research Title and Author-Year	Main Findings
Performance Analysis of Support Vector Machine (SVM) on Challenging Datasets for Forest Fire Detection [50]	The paper analyzes the performance of SVMs for forest fire detection, including the challenges of high-dimensional datasets and the relationship between accuracy and image resolution.
GeoZ: a Region-Based Visualization of Clustering Algorithms [51]	GeoZ is a Python library that uses SVM to generate geographic clustering regions, addressing challenges with data availability and model complexity in GeoAI.
The challenges of integrating explainable artificial intelligence into GeoAI [52]	The paper discusses challenges in integrating explainable AI into geospatial AI, including data handling, geographic scale, and geosocial issues, rather than the specific challenges of implementing SVMs in GeoAI.

When predicting dengue fever, SVM offers precise predictions, particularly with smaller datasets and straightforward feature relationships. Random Forest is advantageous for its robustness against overfitting and its ability to handle both categorical and numerical data, making it suitable for diverse epidemiological datasets. Neural Networks can provide the highest accuracy by modeling complex non-linear relationships but come with increased computational demands and longer training times. Evaluating these techniques involves considering metrics such as accuracy, precision, recall, and computational efficiency, tailored to the specific requirements of dengue fever prediction. In the GeoAI context, SVM is strong in scenarios with clear data margins and where interpretability is key, but it faces challenges with parameter sensitivity and computational demands. Random Forests and Neural Networks also have distinct advantages, making them valuable complements to SVM for enhancing spatial prediction outcomes.

V. ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

A. Evaluation of Technological Advancements

Recent advancements in the use of Support Vector Machines (SVM) for spatial prediction within Geospatial Artificial Intelligence (GeoAI) have led to significant innovations. One major area of progress is the development of advanced kernel methods, such as the Radial Basis Function (RBF) and polynomial kernels, which allow SVM to handle non-linear relationships in geographic data more effectively. These kernels have greatly enhanced SVM's ability to model complex spatial patterns and interactions. Additionally, the integration of SVM with high-resolution geospatial data and real-time analytics has improved the precision of spatial predictions. With the increasing availability of detailed satellite imagery and sensor data, SVM models can now better capture spatial features, leading to more accurate predictions, such as in the mapping of land use changes and environmental conditions that influence disease spread.

Another key advancement is the development of hybrid models that combine SVM with other machine learning techniques, like ensemble methods or deep learning. These hybrid approaches leverage the strengths of multiple algorithms to improve predictive performance and address SVM's limitations, such as sensitivity to parameter settings and data dimensionality. For instance, combining SVM with Random Forest or Neural Networks enhances model robustness and allows for the handling of larger, more complex datasets. Additionally, advancements in computational technologies and software tools have facilitated the application of SVM in GeoAI, reducing training times and enabling its use with large-scale geospatial datasets. Improved software platforms have also made it easier to implement and optimize SVM models, expanding their use in spatial analysis and prediction.

B. Challenges and Potential Solutions

Implementing Support Vector Machines (SVM) in Geospatial Artificial Intelligence (GeoAI) presents several challenges, particularly regarding data quality and availability. Accurate SVM modeling depends on high-resolution, comprehensive geospatial data, which is often sparse or incomplete. To overcome this, enhanced data collection methods such as improved satellite imaging, sensor networks, and multi-source data integration can be utilized. Additionally, data augmentation techniques and synthetic data can help fill gaps, improving model performance and ensuring that SVMs have the necessary input for accurate predictions in spatial analysis.

Another significant challenge is the model's complexity and computational demands, especially with non-linear kernels. SVMs can be computationally intensive, requiring substantial processing power and memory. To address this, optimization techniques like dimensionality reduction, efficient kernel selection, and parallel computing are essential. For instance, Principal Component Analysis (PCA) can reduce feature numbers, making computations more manageable. Cloud-based computing resources and specialized hardware can also better handle large-scale computations. Furthermore, challenges related to parameter tuning and model interpretability can be addressed with automated hyperparameter optimization tools and advanced machine learning libraries, which help identify optimal settings. Enhancing interpretability through feature importance analysis and visualization tools is crucial for building trust in SVM predictions. Additionally, addressing regulatory and ethical concerns about data privacy and AI use is vital, requiring strict data privacy regulations, transparency, and ethical guidelines to ensure responsible AI applications in GeoAI. These solutions collectively enhance SVM's effectiveness in geospatial analysis.

C. Implications for Future Research

Future research in Support Vector Machines (SVM) and Geospatial Artificial Intelligence (GeoAI) holds substantial potential for innovation and technological advancement. One critical area for exploration is the development of advanced kernel functions. Research can focus on creating new kernels or refining existing ones to better capture the complexities of geospatial data, particularly spatial dependencies and relationships. This could significantly enhance the accuracy and interpretability of SVM models in GeoAI applications, making them more effective for tasks like disease prediction and environmental monitoring. Another promising direction is the integration of SVM with emerging machine learning techniques. Combining SVM with deep learning methods or ensemble approaches could improve predictive performance and address the limitations of each technique. For instance, hybrid models that leverage the strengths of both SVM and neural networks could offer breakthroughs in managing large-scale, high-dimensional spatial data.

Additionally, advancements in computational technology present opportunities to explore more complex SVM models, including real-time data processing and high-performance computing to handle large datasets more efficiently. Future research could also extend SVM applications to new domains like real-time environmental monitoring or dynamic urban planning. Addressing ethical and regulatory considerations is equally important, ensuring responsible use of these technologies in compliance with data protection regulations. Research into ethical AI practices, data privacy solutions, and transparent methodologies will be crucial for mitigating risks and building trust in SVM and GeoAI technologies, guiding the sustainable and responsible advancement of the field.

VI. CONCLUSION

A. Main Findings

The literature review highlights significant advancements and ongoing challenges in using Support Vector Machines (SVM) for Geospatial Artificial Intelligence (GeoAI) in dengue fever prediction. Key findings reveal that the development of advanced kernel methods, such as Radial Basis Function (RBF) and polynomial kernels, has significantly enhanced SVM's ability to model complex spatial patterns and interactions in geospatial data. Integration with high-resolution geospatial data and real-time analytics has improved the precision of predictions, making SVM a valuable tool for mapping land use changes and environmental conditions that influence disease spread.

However, the use of SVM in GeoAI faces notable challenges. Data quality and availability remain critical issues, as high-resolution and comprehensive geospatial data are often sparse or incomplete. The computational demands of SVM, especially with non-linear kernels, require substantial processing power and memory, necessitating optimization techniques and advanced computational resources. Additionally, parameter tuning, and model interpretability are complex and require advanced tools for effective implementation. In conclusion, while SVM holds substantial potential for improving dengue fever prediction through advanced kernel methods and integration with high-resolution data, addressing challenges related to data quality, computational demands, and model interpretability is essential for enhancing its effectiveness and efficiency in GeoAI applications.

B. Recommendations

For further research, it is crucial to explore advanced kernel methods and hybrid models that integrate SVM with emerging machine learning techniques to enhance predictive performance in GeoAI applications. Researchers should focus on improving data quality and availability through better data collection and augmentation techniques. For practical application development in public health, leveraging SVM in real-time data processing and high-resolution geospatial analytics can provide more accurate predictions for disease outbreaks. Additionally, addressing computational demands and improving model interpretability will be essential for effective implementation. Ensuring ethical and regulatory compliance is also vital for responsible AI use in public health.

Acknowledgment

All authors extend their gratitude to the Doctoral Program in Engineering Science at Universitas Sriwijaya and the Artificial Intelligence Research Development (AIRD) of the Department of Electrical Engineering at Politeknik Negeri Sriwijaya for their support of this research.

References

[1] J.-S. Lee et al., "Early warning signal for dengue outbreaks and identification of high risk areas for dengue fever in Colombia using climate and non-climate datasets," BMC Infect Dis, vol. 17, no. 1, p. 480, Dec. 2017, doi: 10.1186/s12879-017-2577-4.

[2] P. Siriyasatien, S. Chadsuthi, K. Jampachaisri, and K. Kesorn, "Dengue Epidemics Prediction: A Survey of the State-of-the-Art Based on Data Science Processes," IEEE Access, vol. 6, pp. 53757–53795, 2018, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2871241.

[3] R. Jain, S. Sontisirikit, S. Iamsirithaworn, and H. Prendinger, "Prediction of dengue outbreaks based on disease surveillance, meteorological and socio-economic data," BMC Infect Dis, vol. 19, no. 1, p. 272, Dec. 2019, doi: 10.1186/s12879-019-3874-x.

[4] D. McNaughton, "The Importance of Long-Term Social Research in Enabling Participation and Developing Engagement Strategies for New Dengue Control Technologies," PLoS Negl Trop Dis, vol. 6, no. 8, p. e1785, Aug. 2012, doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0001785.

[5] M. D. Eastin, E. Delmelle, I. Casas, J. Wexler, and C. Self, "Intra- and Interseasonal Autoregressive Prediction of Dengue Outbreaks Using Local Weather and Regional Climate for a Tropical Environment in Colombia," The American Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene, vol. 91, no. 3, pp. 598–610, Sep. 2014, doi: 10.4269/ajtmh.13-0303.

[6] K. P. Kua, "A multifactorial strategy for dengue prevention and control: A public health situation analysis," Trop Doct, vol. 52, no. 2, pp. 367–371, Apr. 2022, doi: 10.1177/00494755221076910.

[7] T. VoPham, J. E. Hart, F. Laden, and Y.-Y. Chiang, "Emerging trends in geospatial artificial intelligence (geoAI): potential applications for environmental epidemiology," Environmental Health, vol. 17, no. 1, p. 40, Dec. 2018, doi: 10.1186/s12940-018-0386-x.

[8] A. Lazar and B. A. Shellito, "Classification in GIS Using Support Vector Machines," in Handbook of Research on Geoinformatics, IGI Global, 2009, pp. 106–112. doi: 10.4018/978-1-59140-995-3.ch014.

[9] K. S. Sahana et al., "Geospatial Artificial Intelligence (GeoAI): Applications in Health Care," International Journal of Health and Allied Sciences, vol. 11, no. 4, Sep. 2023, doi: 10.55691/2278-344X.1044.

[10] A. Amponsah, P. Latue, and H. Rakuasa, "Utilization of GeoAI Applications in the Health Sector: A Review," Journal of Health Science and Medical Therapy, vol. 1, no. 02, pp. 49–60, Sep. 2023, doi: 10.59653/jhsmt.v1i02.240.

[11] W. Li and C.-Y. Hsu, "GeoAI for Large-Scale Image Analysis and Machine Vision: Recent Progress of Artificial Intelligence in Geography," ISPRS Int J Geoinf, vol. 11, no. 7, p. 385, Jul. 2022, doi: 10.3390/ijgi11070385.

[12] B. Hosen, M. Rahaman, S. Kumar, L. Sagar, and Md. N. Akhtar, " Leveraging Artificial Intelligence And Big Data For Advanced Spatial Analytics And Decision Support Systems In Geography," Malaysian Applied Geography, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 62–67, Jul. 2023, doi: 10.26480/magg.02.2023.62.67.

[13] A. I. Alastal and A. H. Shaqfa, "GeoAI Technologies and Their Application Areas in Urban Planning and Development: Concepts, Opportunities and Challenges in Smart City (Kuwait, Study Case)," Journal of Data Analysis and Information Processing, vol. 10, no. 02, pp. 110–126, 2022, doi: 10.4236/jdaip.2022.102007.

[14] N. I. Nordin, N. Mohd Sobri, N. A. Ismail, S. N. Zulkifli, N. F. Abd Razak, and M. Mahmud, "The Classification Performance using Support Vector Machine for Endemic Dengue Cases," J Phys Conf Ser, vol. 1496, no. 1, p. 012006, Mar. 2020, doi: 10.1088/1742-6596/1496/1/012006.

[15] B. Mazhar, N. M. Ali, F. Manzoor, M. K. Khan, M. Nasir, and M. Ramzan, "Development of Data-driven Machine Learning Models and their Potential Role in Predicting Dengue outbreak," J Vector Borne Dis, Jan. 2024, doi: 10.4103/0972-9062.393976.

[16] K. Kesorn et al., "Morbidity Rate Prediction of Dengue Hemorrhagic Fever (DHF) Using the Support Vector Machine and the Aedes aegypti Infection Rate in Similar Climates and Geographical Areas," PLoS One, vol. 10, no. 5, p. e0125049, May 2015, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0125049.

[17] N. A. M. Salim et al., "Prediction of dengue outbreak in Selangor Malaysia using machine learning techniques," Sci Rep, vol. 11, no. 1, p. 939, Jan. 2021, doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-79193-2.

[18] R. Jain, S. Sontisirikit, S. Iamsirithaworn, and H. Prendinger, "Prediction of dengue outbreaks based on disease surveillance, meteorological and socio-economic data," BMC Infect Dis, vol. 19, no. 1, p. 272, Dec. 2019, doi: 10.1186/s12879-019-3874-x.

[19] F. Yavari Nejad and K. D. Varathan, "Identification of significant climatic risk factors and machine learning models in dengue outbreak prediction," BMC Med Inform Decis Mak, vol. 21, no. 1, p. 141, Dec. 2021, doi: 10.1186/s12911-021-01493-y.

[20] S. K. Dey et al., "Prediction of dengue incidents using hospitalized patients, metrological and socio-economic data in Bangladesh: A machine learning approach," PLoS One, vol. 17, no. 7, p. e0270933, Jul. 2022, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0270933.

[21] W. Hoyos, J. Aguilar, and M. Toro, "Dengue models based on machine learning techniques: A systematic literature review," Artif Intell Med, vol. 119, p. 102157, Sep. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.artmed.2021.102157.

[22] B. R. Schirmer, "Framework for Conducting and Writing a Synthetic Literature Review," International Journal of Education, vol. 10, no. 1, p. 94, Apr. 2018, doi: 10.5296/ije.v10i1.12799.

[23] E. Sylvestre et al., "Data-driven methods for dengue prediction and surveillance using real-world and Big Data: A systematic review," PLoS Negl Trop Dis, vol. 16, no. 1, p. e0010056, Jan. 2022, doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0010056.

[24] F. Haneem, R. Ali, N. Kama, and S. Basri, "Descriptive analysis and text analysis in Systematic Literature Review: A review of Master Data Management," in 2017 International Conference on Research and Innovation in Information Systems (ICRIIS), IEEE, Jul. 2017, pp. 1–6. doi: 10.1109/ICRIIS.2017.8002473.

[25] W. Bandara, E. Furtmueller, E. Gorbacheva, S. Miskon, and J. Beekhuyzen, "Achieving Rigor in Literature Reviews: Insights from Qualitative Data Analysis and Tool-Support," Communications of the Association for Information Systems, vol. 37, 2015, doi: 10.17705/1CAIS.03708.

[26] A. Amponsah, P. Latue, and H. Rakuasa, "Utilization of GeoAI Applications in the Health Sector: A Review," Journal of Health Science and Medical Therapy, vol. 1, no. 02, pp. 49–60, Sep. 2023, doi: 10.59653/jhsmt.v1i02.240.

[27] T. VoPham, J. E. Hart, F. Laden, and Y.-Y. Chiang, “Emerging trends in geospatial artificial intelligence (geoAI): potential applications for environmental epidemiology,” Environmental Health, vol. 17, no. 1, p. 40, Dec. 2018, doi: 10.1186/s12940-018-0386-x.

[28] S. Peng, L. Bai, L. Xiong, Q. Qu, X. Xie, and S. Wang, “GeoAI-based Epidemic Control with Geo-Social Data Sharing on Blockchain,” in 2020 IEEE International Conference on E-health Networking, Application & Services (HEALTHCOM), IEEE, Mar. 2021, pp. 1–6. doi: 10.1109/HEALTHCOM49281.2021.9399031.

[29] D. B. Richardson, N. D. Volkow, M.-P. Kwan, R. M. Kaplan, M. F. Goodchild, and R. T. Croyle, “Spatial Turn in Health Research,” Science (1979), vol. 339, no. 6126, pp. 1390–1392, Mar. 2013, doi: 10.1126/science.1232257.

[30] D. Shi and X. Yang, “Support Vector Machines for Land Cover Mapping from Remote Sensor Imagery,” 2015, pp. 265–279. doi: 10.1007/978-94-017-9813-6_13.

[31] F. Hashim, H. Dibs, and H. S. Jaber, “Applying Support Vector Machine Algorithm on Multispectral Remotely sensed satellite image for Geospatial Analysis,” J Phys Conf Ser, vol. 1963, no. 1, p. 012110, Jul. 2021, doi: 10.1088/1742-6596/1963/1/012110.

[32] N. Dong, H. Huang, and L. Zheng, “Support vector machine in crash prediction at the level of traffic analysis zones: Assessing the spatial proximity effects,” Accid Anal Prev, vol. 82, pp. 192–198, Sep. 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.aap.2015.05.018.

[33] S. Saran, P. Singh, V. Kumar, and P. Chauhan, “Review of Geospatial Technology for Infectious Disease Surveillance: Use Case on COVID-19,” Journal of the Indian Society of Remote Sensing, vol. 48, no. 8, pp. 1121–1138, Aug. 2020, doi: 10.1007/s12524-020-01140-5.

[34] N. Randhawa, H. Mailhot, D. Lang, B. Martínez-López, K. Gilardi, and J. Mazet, “Using fine-scale satellite imagery and GIS data to help predict disease spread,” Front Vet Sci, vol. 6, 2019, doi: 10.3389/conf.fvets.2019.05.00042.

[35] A. A. Kolesnikov, P. M. Kikin, and A. M. Portnov, “ Diseases Spread Prediction In Tropical Areas By Machine Learning Methods Ensembling And Spatial Analysis Techniques ,” The International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences, vol. XLII-3/W8, pp. 221–226, Aug. 2019, doi: 10.5194/isprs-archives-XLII-3-W8-221-2019.

[36] P. Devarakonda, R. Sadasivuni, R. A. A. Nobrega, and J. Wu, “Application of spatial multicriteria decision analysis in healthcare: Identifying drivers and triggers of infectious disease outbreaks using ensemble learning,” Journal of Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis, vol. 29, no. 1–2, pp. 23–36, Jan. 2022, doi: 10.1002/mcda.1732.

[37] J. Cervantes, F. Garcia-Lamont, L. Rodríguez-Mazahua, and A. Lopez, “A comprehensive survey on support vector machine classification: Applications, challenges and trends,” Neurocomputing, vol. 408, pp. 189–215, Sep. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.neucom.2019.10.118.

[38] P. Du et al., “Advances of Four Machine Learning Methods for Spatial Data Handling: a Review,” Journal of Geovisualization and Spatial Analysis, vol. 4, no. 1, p. 13, Jun. 2020, doi: 10.1007/s41651-020-00048-5.

[39] T. Kavzoglu and I. Colkesen, “A kernel functions analysis for support vector machines for land cover classification,” International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation, vol. 11, no. 5, pp. 352–359, Oct. 2009, doi: 10.1016/j.jag.2009.06.002.

[40] C. Gonzales-Inca et al., “Geospatial Artificial Intelligence (GeoAI) in the Integrated Hydrological and Fluvial Systems Modeling: Review of Current Applications and Trends,” Water (Basel), vol. 14, no. 14, p. 2211, Jul. 2022, doi: 10.3390/w14142211.

[41] E. Khodadadi and S. K. Towfek, “Internet of Things Enabled Disease Outbreak Detection: A Predictive Modeling System,” Journal of Intelligent Systems and Internet of Things, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 84–91, 2023, doi: 10.54216/JISIoT.100107.

[42] Z. S. Y. Wong, J. Zhou, and Q. Zhang, “Artificial Intelligence for infectious disease Big Data Analytics,” 2019. doi: 10.1016/j.idh.2018.10.002.

[43] G. Machado, J. Ardila Galvis, J. Grisi-Filho, and N. Cárdenas, “The integration of geostatistical analysis with social network improve active disease surveillance,” Front Vet Sci, vol. 6, 2019, doi: 10.3389/conf.fvets.2019.05.00075.

[44] M. H. Tito et al., “Advancing vector-borne disease prediction through functional classifier integration: A novel approach for enhanced modeling,” Letters In Animal Biology, pp. 17–22, Feb. 2024, doi: 10.62310/liab.v4i1.135.

[45] Q.-T. Bui, Q.-H. Nguyen, V. M. Pham, M. H. Pham, and A. T. Tran, “Understanding spatial variations of malaria in Vietnam using remotely sensed data integrated into GIS and machine learning classifiers,” Geocarto Int, vol. 34, no. 12, pp. 1300–1314, Oct. 2019, doi: 10.1080/10106049.2018.1478890.

[46] S. Nick Dlamini, “Remote Sensing Applications in Disease Mapping,” in Remote Sensing, IntechOpen, 2021. doi: 10.5772/intechopen.93652.

[47] Y. Yusof and Z. Mustaffa, “Dengue Outbreak Prediction: A Least Squares Support Vector Machines Approach,” International Journal of Computer Theory and Engineering, pp. 489–493, 2011, doi: 10.7763/IJCTE.2011.V3.355.

[48] M. Ganthimathi, “Prediction of Dengue Fever Using Intelligent Classifier,” International Journal of Emerging Trends in Engineering Research, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 1338–1341, Apr. 2020, doi: 10.30534/ijeter/2020/65842020.

[49] Y. Song, M. Kalacska, M. Gašparović, J. Yao, and N. Najibi, “Advances in geocomputation and geospatial artificial intelligence (GeoAI) for mapping,” International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation, vol. 120, p. 103300, Jun. 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.jag.2023.103300.

[50] A. Kar, N. Nath, U. Kemprai, and Aman, “Performance Analysis of Support Vector Machine (SVM) on Challenging Datasets for Forest Fire Detection,” International Journal of Communications, Network and System Sciences, vol. 17, no. 02, pp. 11–29, 2024, doi: 10.4236/ijcns.2024.172002.

[51] K. ElHaj, D. Alshamsi, and A. Aldahan, “GeoZ: a Region-Based Visualization of Clustering Algorithms,” Journal of Geovisualization and Spatial Analysis, vol. 7, no. 1, p. 15, Jun. 2023, doi: 10.1007/s41651-023-00146-0.

[52] J. Xing and R. Sieber, “The challenges of integrating explainable artificial intelligence into <scp>GeoAI</scp>,” Transactions in GIS, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 626–645, May 2023, doi: 10.1111/tgis.13045.